



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,541	04/02/2001	Subhra Bose		9431

7590

06/09/2005

Bodner & O'Rourke LLP
425 Broadhollow Rd.
Suite 108
Melville, NY 11747

EXAMINER

LANIER, BENJAMIN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2132

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/824,541

Applicant(s)

BOSE ET AL.

Examiner

Benjamin E Lanier

Art Unit

2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,6-8 and 10-45 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,6-8,10-45 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 21 April 2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The specification does not provide support for the newly added claim limitation that requires that there are no binary or executable objects above and beyond what is provided by a browser container at said receiver. The specification recites (Page 17) that the invention does not **require** additional components or executables to be installed in the client machine other than that obtained with a standard web browser, but is silent with respect to their actual existence. The specification recites (Page 6) that the invention does not **require** additional browser plugins, or Active X controls, but is silent with respect to their actual existence.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed 21 April 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) under Tang have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Art Unit: 2132

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 42, 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not provide support for the newly added claim limitation that requires that there are no binary or executable objects above and beyond what is provided by a browser container at said receiver. The specification recites (Page 17) that the invention does not **require** additional components or executables to be installed in the client machine other than that obtained with a standard web browser, but is silent with respect to their actual existence.

Referring to claim 44, the specification recites (Page 6) that the invention does not **require** additional browser plugins, or Active X controls, but is silent with respect to their actual existence.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said computer" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2132

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 1, 3, 6-8, 10, 11, 14, 18, 20, 25, 27-29, 32-35, 39, 40, 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796. Referring to claim 1, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), which meets the limitation of sending and receiving information comprising a server, one or more senders and plurality of receivers and a communication means for said server to communicate with said sender and said receivers wherein the protocol used for said receivers and said server communications consists essentially of http, https, httpdav or any other variant of the http protocol. The client browser sends the server a HTTP request (Col. 4, line 64), which meets the limitation of said receiver initiates a request which is transmitted to said server. The server may not immediately reply to the web browser and waits to receive a relayed message from a second user terminal that is subsequently sent to the requesting user (Col. 5, lines 52-67), which meets the limitation of in the event said server does not immediately reply to said request with information for said receiver, said server retains said request in a pending state until a time when said server receives information from said sender and wherein said server responds to said

Art Unit: 2132

request with information for one or more of said receivers and wherein at such time, said server allows for the completion of one or more said receiver requests with said information.

Referring to claims 3, 7, 18, Cianfrocca discloses that the client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15), which meets the limitation of said receiver includes a web browser and said server includes a web server, the information being sent and received is for page flipping.

Referring to claim 6, Cianfrocca discloses that the browser is a Java capable browser (Col. 6, line 1), which meets the limitation of said computer requires the use of Javascript.

Referring to claim 8, Cianfrocca discloses that the server is an application server (Abstract), which meets the limitation of said server includes a web application server.

Referring to claim 10, 11, Cianfrocca discloses that data packets are transferred from the server to the client (Col. 12, lines 27-41), which meets the limitation of in the event said server has multiple information items available for delivery to said receiver, the said server may combine said multiple information items in a single response to said receiver, in the event said sender has multiple information items available to send, said sender may combine multiple information items in a single interaction with said server.

Referring to claim 14, Cianfrocca discloses that the server contains an application programming interface (Col. 1, lines 18-20).

Referring to claim 15, Cianfrocca discloses that the application programming interface is NSAPI (Col. 8, line 3), which meets the limitation of a Java Messaging Service Interface or a subset thereof.

Referring to claim 20, Cianfrocca discloses that the messenger system enabled application can send/receive notifications of events (Col. 5, lines 16-19), which meets the limitation of information being sent and received is an alert notification.

Referring to claim 25, Cianfrocca discloses that the system includes email capabilities (Figure 3), which meets the limitation of the information being sent and received is used for real-time email delivery and notification.

Referring to claims 27-29, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), which meets the limitation of communicating entities using a communication protocol may send and receive message in real-time, said system comprising a http, https, httpdav or any any variant of the http protocol stack executing on a web server. The client browser sends the server a HTTP request (Col. 4, line 64) and the request is forwarded to the application gateway to retrieve the requested information and retransmit the response to the end user (Col. 7, line 61 – Col. 8, line 39), which meets the limitation an event mediator, and one or more communicating entities wherein said event mediator coordinates a receiver request and a response message and wherein any entity that desires to receive real-time messages is associated with an event identifier managed by said event mediator such that an entity submitting a submit-identified-event message to said web server has its request forwarded to said event mediator, said event mediator receiving said message from the web server and matching it with one or more receiver outstanding requests for the same identified event, said event mediator generating a response to said request and sending said response back to said receiver for responding to previously submitted request-

Art Unit: 2132

for-identified-event messages sent to said web server that had said request forwarded to said event mediator.

Referring to claim 32, Cianfrocca discloses that the communications are encrypted (Col. 15, lines 7-8).

Referring to claims 33-35, Cianfrocca discloses that the communications utilize the SSL transport layer (Col. 16, lines 10-11).

Referring to claims 39, 40, Cianfrocca discloses that the communication system utilizes a firewall (Figure 1), which meets the limitation of a firewall and security filtering are interposed between any component of the system including said sender(s), said receiver(s), and said server(s).

Referring to claims 42, 43, there is no requirement for additional applications in Cianfrocca.

Referring to claims 44, there are no Active X controls, Java applets or browser plugins in the system of Cianfrocca.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 2132

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

12. Claims 12, 13, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796, in view of Fan, U.S. Patent No. 6,219,706. Referring to claims 12, 13, 45, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), which meets the limitation of sending and receiving information comprising a server, one or more senders and plurality of receivers and a communication means for said server to communicate with said sender and said receivers wherein the protocol used for said receivers and said server communications consists essentially of http, https, httpdav or any other variant of the http protocol. The client browser sends the server a HTTP request (Col. 4, line 64), which meets the limitation of said receiver initiates a request which is transmitted to said server. The server may not immediately reply to the web browser and waits to receive a relayed message from a second user terminal that is subsequently sent to the requesting user (Col. 5, lines 52-67), which meets the limitation of in the event said server does not immediately reply to said request with information for said receiver, said server retains said request in a pending state until a time when said server receives information from said sender and wherein said server responds to said request with information for one or more of said receivers and wherein at such time, said server allows for the completion of one or more said receiver requests with said information.

Cianfrocca does not disclose that the communication channel contains a unique identifier. Fan

Art Unit: 2132

discloses an access control network when a communication channel is established the identification number is included in the headers of the packets (Col. 13, lines 17-22), which meets the limitation of an event notification system to provide one-to-one, one-to-many and many-to-many communications wherein each communication channel is uniquely identified by a unique identifier, wherein the unique identifier is a string or number. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in order to assist the firewall in the filtering of communication packets as taught in Fan (Col. 13, lines 22-26).

13. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796. Referring to claim 16, Cianfrocca discloses that the communications can be between a bank teller and a bank customer (Col. 11, lines 12-28), but does not specifically recite that these communications include questions and answers. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for these communications in Cianfrocca to include questions and answers because communicating questions and answers is key element in conducting bank transactions.

14. Claims 17, 19, 23, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796, in view of MacNaughton, U.S. Patent No. 5,796,393. Referring to claim 17, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), but does not disclose that the messages involve real-time polling. MacNaughton discloses an integrated on-line service community that utilizes real-time polling communication (Col. 4, lines 42-45). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2132

use real-time polling communications in the real-time messaging system of Cianfrocca in order to attain immediate feedback from users of the system as taught by MacNaughton (Col. 4, lines 44-45).

Referring to claims 19, 24, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), but does not disclose that the information being transmitted is used for a group membership. MacNaughton discloses an integrated online service community wherein users are able to register to a community client/server system (Col. 3, lines 24-61), which meets the limitation of the information being sent and received is used for a group membership and for discussion groups. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the real-time message oriented system of Cianfrocca to enable community services in order to provide the users with similar interests or shared circumstances to enjoy on-going social relations as taught in MacNaughton (Col. 3, lines 8-12).

Referring to claim 23, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), but does not disclose that the information being transmitted is used for chat. MacNaughton discloses an integrated online service community that provides online chat sessions (Col. 1, lines 26-27). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the real-time message oriented system of Cianfrocca to implement chat sessions

Art Unit: 2132

because Cianfrocca is already real-time and users would benefit by having direct communications with other users of the system.

15. Claims 21, 22, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796, in view of Kung, U.S. Patent No. 6,373,817. Referring to claims 21, 22, 26, Cianfrocca discloses a secure real-time message-oriented middleware system wherein a client browser connects to a server using HTTP protocols (Col. 4, lines 12-15) and has the functionality to send messages and receive messages (Col. 4, lines 60-64), but does not disclose that the information being transmitted is used for follow-me browsing or instant messaging. Kung discloses a chase me system (Abstract) that provides for instant messaging (Col. 23, lines 20-22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the chase me system implementation of Kung in the real-time messaging system of Cianfrocca to provide a system for the users of mobile terminals with the methods and procedures for chasing IP broadband subscribers for multi-media communications as taught in Kung (Col. 1, lines 52-55).

16. Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cianfrocca, U.S. Patent No. 6,088,796, in view of Ellis, U.S. Patent No. 6,484,257. Referring to claims 36-38, Cianfrocca discloses that the communications utilize the SSL transport layer (Col. 16, lines 10-11), but does not disclose using the TLS communication protocol or communications via a VPN. Ellis discloses a system for maintaining simultaneous cryptographic session wherein the sessions are encrypted using SSL, TLS, and VPN (Col. 1, line 66 – Col. 2, line 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2132

encrypt the communications of Cianfrocca because corporations now have critical needs for ensuring the security of data that traverses their networks as taught in Ellis (Col. 1, lines 32-35).

Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E. Lanier whose telephone number is 571-272-3805. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Benjamin E. Lanier



GILBERTO BARRÓN JR.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100